Your Name:	Larry Golden		FILED
Address:	740 Woodruff Rd 1102 G'ville SC 29		
hone Number:	864-288-5605		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNI
Fax Number: E-mail Address: Pro Se	atpg-tech@charter.net		THE TOTAL PORTION
	UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST		
Divisio	on [check one]: 🗹 San Francis	sco 🗆 Oaklar	nd □ San Jose □ Eureka
Larry Golden	<del></del>	) Case Numb	er: 3:22-cv-04152-VC
vs. Apple Inc.	Plaintiff,	)	for party submitting statement]:  f's
]	Defendant.	) ) )	
[See the Inst	tructions for more detailed inf	ormation about	t how to complete this template.]

1. JURISDICTION  Mark the option that applies to your case							
Mark the option that applies to your case.  This Court has subject matter jurisdiction in this case under:							
	•	use it is about federal laws	or rights [List the laws				
<del></del>	•		•				
or rights involved] Sherman Act, Clayton Act, Direct Infringement, Contributory Infringement, Joint Infringement, Unjust Enrichment							
Diversity jurisdiction because none of the Plaintiffs live in the same state as any of the							
Defendants AND the ar	mount of damages is i	more than \$75,000.					
2. <u>SERVICE</u> Complete the table to show when each defendant was served with the Complaint and whether any defendant will argue that this Court is not the correct one to decide this case.							
Defendant's Name	Date Served or Expected to Serve	Does Defendant dispute that the Court has personal jurisdiction?	Does Defendant dispute that this is the correct venue?				
Apple, Inc.	August 9, 2022	Yes No	Yes No				
		Yes No	☐Yes ☐ No				
☐ Check box if there a defendant on an addition	<u> </u>	ind provide the above inform of this document.	nation for each				
3. <u>FACTS</u> Give a short description of the important facts in this case including facts that you and the other side disagree about. Add an additional page if needed.							
The Defendant does not have any genuine dispute to Plaintiff's alleged violations of the							
Sherman Act, Clayton Act, Direct Infringement, Joint & Contributory Infringement, and							
Unjust Enrichment Claims. Thereby, Plaintiff is entitled to summary judgement as a							
matter of law.							
Plaintiff and Defendant disagree that this Case is ready to be tried by jury. Plaintiff's							
evidence to be presented to the jury includes: 1) One hour video of Plaintiff's							
conception [2004 filed with the USPTO] of Plaintiff's CMDC device; 2) One hour video							
of the DHS conception of a new and improved cell phone [2007-08 contracted award to							
Apple]; and, 3) Video of Gov't, proclaiming Jobs/Apple not inventor of the smartphone							
CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-04152-VC PAGE NO. 2 OF 8 [JDC TEMPLATE - Rev. 05/17]							

1 4. LEGAL ISSUES Briefly explain the laws the Plaintiff says the Defendant violated. 2 Antitrust: Defendant's motive to conspire in restraint of trade while performing work 3 under a Gov't contract includes: cannot be held liable for infringing a patent; any part 4 of the process performed abroad [up to year 2012] the Gov't cannot be held liable for 5 patent infringement; and creating and maintaining monopoly power with the target 6 market of 300 million consumers who currently [2007] use cell phones and who upgrade 7 every two to three years. 8 Infringement: Apple products directly infringes on Plaintiff's patented inventions; Apple 9 is jointly infringing with Google [reviewed by the Federal Circuit, "map claim limitations 10 to infringing product features ... in a relatively straightforward manner"] contributory inf. 11 12 5. MOTIONS Complete the table to list any motions that have been filed or might be filed. 13 Date of Ruling Party filing motion Type of Motion 14 (or "pending" or "to be filed") 15 **Plaintiff** Summary judgement / Injunc. relief | Pending / To be filed 16 To be filied **Pliantiff** Amendment [joint infring. Google] 17  $\square$ Check box if there are more motions and add a page at the end with additional information. 18 6. AMENDING THE COMPLAINT, ANSWER, COUNTERCLAIM/CROSSCLAIM 19 Mark one option to tell the Court whether you plan to change your claims or defenses. 20 21 • The submitting party [name] Larry Golden 22 does not plan to amend the Complaint. plans to amend the Complaint by [date] after decision on summary judgemen 23 24  $\square$  Check box if you need to list more parties, and provide the above information for each party on an additional page at the end of this document. 25 26 II 27 11 28 CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-04152-VC

PAGE NO. 3 OF 8 [JDC TEMPLATE - Rev. 05/17]

1	7 EVIDENCE PRECERVATION			
	7. EVIDENCE PRESERVATION  Parties to a lawsuit must make sure that they are protecting and not destroying evidence.			
2 3	that might be used in the case. Check the correct box or boxes.			
4	• The submitting party [name] Larry Golden has			
5	reviewed the Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information			
6	spoken with the opposing parties about preserving evidence relevant to the issues			
7	one could reasonably understand to be part of this case			
8	plans to do the above by [date]			
9	Check if you need to list more parties, and provide the above information for each party on an additional page at the end of this document.			
10	8. <u>INITIAL DISCLOSURES</u>			
11 12	Initial Disclosures are lists of information that the parties must send each other at the beginning of a case. Check the box that applies, and provide the agreed date if needed.			
13	Parties have sent each other Initial Disclosures.			
14	Parties have <u>not</u> yet sent each other Initial Disclosures, but agree to exchange			
15	them by [date]			
16	9. <u>DISCOVERY</u>			
17	Give a short description of what you plan to investigate during discovery and if there are any discovery issues.			
18	Plaintiff is Pro Se and is limited to discovery from the internet [product specs. etc]			
19	Plaintiff believes he has submitted enough factual allegations that are supported by			
20	enough factual documentaion for the Court to determine Defendant has no geniune			
21	dispute to the illegal conduct Plaintiff has alleged Defendant has cause. Plaintiff is			
22	prepared to send Defendant the three videos Plaintiff intends to show the jury			
23	1) Plaintiff's conception of the CMDC [smartphone] device-2004			
24	2) DHS conception of the new, useful, and improved upon cell phone-2007			
25	3) The Gov't [Nancy Pelosi] rejection that Jobs/Apple invented the smartphone			
26 27 28	10. <u>CLASS ACTIONS</u> Not applicable.			
	CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-04152-VC PAGE NO. 4 OF 8 [JDC TEMPLATE – Rev. 05/17]			

	11. <u>RELATED CASES</u>
	Check the correct box to explain whether you are aware of any cases related to this one. If you check the second box, list the case number and the court, government agency, or other administrative body that will decide that case.
	·
	The party submitting this statement
	is <u>not</u> aware of any related cases.  Solden v. Qualcomm; Golden v. Intel
	is aware of related cases [list cases]: Golden v. Qualconini, Golden v. Intel Golden v. Google; Golden v. US (petition rehearing at the Federal Circuit)
,	12. <u>RELIEF SOUGHT</u> State what the Plaintiff wants from the Defendant, or wants the Court to do, including by amount of money sought and how that amount was calculated. If a Defendant filed a counter or crossclaim, state the same information for the Defendant. Insert a page if needed.
4	pple's actual and estimated revenues from 2010 thru 2026 is \$5 Trillion. A reasonable
C	yalty is 10% [\$500 billion]. Plaintiff asked the Court to award 1% [\$50 billion]. Plaintiff
	ost recent settlement offer was 1/10th of 1% [\$5 billion]. The Defendant turned it
•	own. Plaintiff is now seeking relief granted by a jury that not only includes monetary
	amages of at least 5%, but include an ownership stake in Apple. Plaintiff will show the
Į	ry Plaintiff is responsible for at least 50% of Apple's success. Plaintiff will also seek
	iple damages under the Clayton Act, and triple damages for willful infringement.
-	13. SETTLEMENT AND ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION ("ADR")
	Check at least one box in each section. If you need information to help you decide how to resolve the case, explain what that information is.
]	ne parties:  The submitting party agrees to the following form of
	✓ have tried to settle the case. ADR:
	have not tried to settle the Settlement conference with a magistrate judge
	case.
	Other
	formation needed: Plaintiff is asking the Court to allow a jury to decide damages and ward.
_	
_	

_ ] !	
	14. CONSENT TO HAVE A MAGISRATE JUDGE HEAR THE CASE  Mark one option to let the Court know if you consent to have a magistrate judge hear the case
	Mark one option to let the Court know if you consent to have a magistrate judge hear the case.  • The submitting party [name] Larry Golden
	does consent to a magistrate judge.
	does not consent to a magistrate judge.
	☐ Check box if you need to list more parties, and provide the above information for each party on an additional page at the end of this document.
	15. <u>OTHER REFERENCES</u>
	In unusual cases, the judge may refer a case to another decision-maker. If this is one of those cases, cross out "Not Applicable," and write in who should hear this case.
	Not applicable.
	16. <u>NARROWING OF ISSUES, CLAIMS, OR DEFENSES</u>
	Use this section to explain if issues in this case could be resolved by agreement or by written papers submitted by the parties ("motion"). Check the box that applies, and explain.
	Not applicable.
	Issues that can be resolved by agreement: Settlement. Expediting the Case
	to a jury
	Issues that can be resolved by motion:
	17. EXPEDITED TRIAL PROCEDURE
	If you have questions about the Court's Expedited Trial Procedure, contact the Legal Help Center.
	Not applicable.
	18. <u>SCHEDULING</u>
	The Court usually fixes the case deadlines. If you want to propose a schedule, you can do so below. Be sure you will be in town and able to meet any deadlines proposed.
	Agree to have Court set deadlines.
	Proposed deadlines:
	CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-04152-VC PAGE NO. 6 OF 8 (JDC TEMPLATE – Rev. 05/17)

1	Charled Land	19.	TRIAL		
2	Check the box that applies and estimate how long the trial will last.				
3	This case will be tried by	/ a jury	. The trial is expected to last 2 days.		
4	This case will be tried by	/ a judg	ge. The trial is expected to last days.		
5	20. <u>DISCLOSURE OF NON-PAR</u>	TY IN	TERESTED PERSONS OR ENTITIES		
6	This Section tells the Court if anyone who is not named as a party in the case will be affected by the outcome. Usually, if you are representing yourself, the answer is "None." If there is an				
7			t "None" and write in the names.		
8	None.				
9	21	l. <u>OTH</u>	IER MATTERS		
10	Use this section to discuss other issues that would assist with the just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution of this case.				
11	техрепз	ive res	oration of this case.		
12	A just, speedy, and inexpensive res	olution	n of this case is to move the case to a trial by		
13	jury.				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20	NOTE: This document should not be low end. Each party submitting this stateme	_	an ten pages, including any pages you add at the triangle triangle triangle triangle triangle triangle triangle		
21	cha. Zach party suchiming this statement		g.,		
22	Date: 10/14/2022 Sign Na	ame:			
23	Print N	ame:	Larry Golden		
24			Pro se		
25					
26					
27					
28					
	CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMEN	T: CAS	SE NO.: 3:22-cv-04152-VC		
	PAGE NO. 7 OF 8 [JDC TEMP	PLATE -	- Rev. 05/17]		

Use this page if you need additional space for any Section. Be sure to write the Section number. Apple was knowledgeable of Plaintiff's communicating, monitoring, detecting, and controlling devices since at least 2010 when Plaintiff made a request on Apple to cease and desist, and enter into a licensing agreement with Plaintiff. Under 35 U.S.C. 122(e), which provides a mechanism for third parties [Apple] to submit patents, published patent applications, or other printed publications of potential relevance to the examination of a patent application to prevent the grant of a patent. Apple could have intervened to invalidate Plaintiff's '752, '761, '891, '990, and '280 patents between years 2010-2013, Apple failed to do so. Apple could have intervened to invalidate Plaintiff's '189, '439, '287 and '619 patents between years 2015-2021 that has 25 Independent patent claims for the smartphone; but, failed to do so. Under the Leahy - Smith American Invents Act that was passed by Congress in 2011, Apple could have, after receiving knowledge of Plaintiff's patent subject matter in 2010, filed at the USPTO PTAB a petition for inter partes review (IPR) to invalidate any or all of Plaintiff's patents. Apple fail to do so. Plaintiff made another attempt at getting Apple to accept a license, or cease and desist in 2019. Apple's IP Executive denied my offer. Apple was notified to appear in 2020 in the related COFC Case No. 13-307C Golden v. US to protect any interested Apple has for the patent infringement allegations made against certain Apple products. Apple could have appeared to present any invalidity contentions. Apple failed to appear. In a telephone conversation with Apple's Attorneys on 10/12/2022, Plaintiff asked if Apple has a patent that antedates Plaintiff's patents priority date, and if so, they could present the patent and possibly end Plaintiff's case against Apple. Apple could not produce a patent and said maybe that's something we can present in discovery. For 12 years Apple could have presented evidence to show Apple products do not infringe Plaintiff's patents; Plaintiff's patents are invalid; that the cease and desist request was not proper; and, Apple does not need to license Plaintiff's patented inventions. Plaintiff is entitled to Summary Judgement as a matter of law.

Sincerely,

Larry Golden, Pro Se Plaintiff

740 Woodruff Rd., #1102

Greenville, SC 29607

(H) 8642885605

(M) 8649927104

Email: atpg-tech@charter.net

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this 14th day of October, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing "Plaintiff's Case Management Statement", was served upon the following Defendant by priority "express" mail:

Jack P. DiCanio

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

525 University Avenue, Suite 1400

Palo Alto, California 94301

Phone: (650) 470-4500

Fax: (650) 470-4570

Email: jack.dicanio@skadden.com

Larry Golden, Pro Se

740 Woodruff Rd., #1102

Greenville, South Carolina 29607

Larry Goldon

atpg-tech@charter.net

864-288-5605